

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT  
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (CGM)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE’S FORTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS  
MOTION TO AFFIRM THE TRUSTEE’S CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS AND  
OVERRULE OBJECTIONS THAT APPEAR TO RAISE FACTUAL ISSUES**

Upon consideration of the motion (the “Motion”) [Docket No. \_\_], by Irving H. Picard, trustee (“Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”) (collectively, “Debtor”), in the above-captioned SIPA<sup>1</sup> liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court affirm his claims determinations and overrule the related objections that appear to raise customer-specific factual issues (the “Objections”); and the Claims to be disallowed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal in Support of the Motion, [Docket No. \_\_]; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto are disallowed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Trustee's Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibit A are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibit A are overruled; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

**IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (CGM)**

**EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS**

<b>Objecting Party</b>	<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Objection To Determination Docket Number</b>	<b>Counsel</b>	<b>Account Name</b>	<b>Account Number</b>
Barbara Engel	000868	2693	Becker & Poliakoff, LLP	Barbara Engel	1E0111
Feiner Living Trust	005552	750	Pro Se Filing	Phyllis Feiner Harvey Feiner Trustees	1ZB241
Robert Andelman and Elizabeth Andelman JT WROS	000412	954	Pro Se Filing	Robert Andelman & Elizabeth P Andelman JT WROS	1ZA266
Robert Berzner and Jane Berzner TIC	002196	598	Pro Se Filing	Robert Berzner and Jane Berzner TIC	1ZA809
Robert Denerstein	000903	2480	Pro Se Filing	Robert L Denerstein	1D0064
Ruth Wilk and Elliot Wilk WROS	013289	2814	Pro Se Filing	Ruth Wilk or Elliot Wilk WROS	1W0040
The George Jacobs Trust, Howard S Jacobs Trustee	001966	2036	Pro Se Filing	George Jacobs TST DTD 12/88 George Jacobs TTEE	1CM090
Wilk Investment Club	005991	928	Pro Se Filing	Wilk Investment Club	1W0085